## WILKOFSKY, FRIEDMAN, KAREL & CUMMINS

JONATHAN J. WILKOFSKY♦
MARK L. FRIEDMAN♦
HARRY A. CUMMINS◊♦▲
ROMAN RABINOVICH◊♦

DAVID B. KAREL(Deceased)

R. RAY ORRILL, JR., • OF COUNSEL
LESLIE E. LITTLE, ■ OF COUNSEL
KEITH A. SELDIN, \*• OF COUNSEL
KEVIN A. COLES, □ OF COUNSEL
LESLIE JONES-THOMAS, • OF COUNSEL
DAVID C. INDIANO, • ▼ OF COUNSEL
JEFFREY M. WILLIAMS, ▼ OF COUNSEL

□ADMITTED CT
\*ADMITTED FL
•ADMITTED LA
◇ADMITTED NJ
•ADMITTED NY
• ADMITTED OH
▲ADMITTED PR
■ADMITTED TX

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
299 BROADWAY • SUITE 1700
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007
TEL: (212) 285-0510 / (888) 285-0510
FAX: (212) 285-0531 / (877) 285-1341

www.wfkclaw.com

FLORIDA OFFICE: 270 SOUTH CENTRAL BLVD, STE. 203 JUPITER, FL 33458

> LOUISIANA OFFICE: 330 CARONDELET STREET NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

> > NEW JERSEY OFFICE: 300 CARNEGIE CENTER PRINCETON, NJ 08540

PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE: 1760 MARKET STREET, SUITE 601 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

PUERTO RICO OFFICE: 207 DEL PARQUE STREET, 3<sup>RD</sup> FL. SAN JUAN, PR 00912

> TEXAS OFFICE: 2229 SAN FELIPE, SUITE 1000 HOUSTON, TX 77019

March 7, 2025

## VIA PACER

Hon. Michael A. Shipp United States District Court District of New Jersey Clarkson S. Fisher Building 402 East State Street Trenton, NJ 08608

RE: KEVIN BEASLEY and KEVIN BEASLEY

STUDIO, LLC v. MICHAEL FANELLI

Our File No.: 24J179

Docket No.: 3:24-cv-09172-MAS-RLS

Dear Judge Shipp:

Please accept this letter in lieu of a formal affirmation in further support of Defendant's motion to vacate the judgment entered against him.

Initially, Plaintiffs' counsel's challenge as to the validity and credibility of Defendant's valid assertion that he was not properly served in this action is simply without merit. The purported service of suit which amounted to dropping of papers in our client's driveway, as well as clear misrepresentations regarding the use of a broken doorbell clearly raise serious questions regarding whether proper service of suit was effectuated.

Counsel's assertions that the New York judgment should automatically be entitled to full faith and credit ignores the fact that she failed to effectuate proper service upon the Defendant in her effort to have the judgment entered in New Jersey, an essential prerequisite therefore.

## WILKOFSKY, FRIEDMAN KAREL & CUMMINS

March 7, 2025 PAGE -2-

Counsel for Plaintiffs has, interestingly, not challenged the documentation provided to the Court which undeniably vests title of the vehicle in question with the Defendant herein. This clearly lays the foundation for a meritorious defense as required to successfully challenge this default judgment.

Finally, we wish to bring to the Court's attention that we are currently in the process of moving to vacate the New York judgment. We acted first to challenge the default judgment in New Jersey, as Defendant is a resident of this state, and as such would potentially be more directly affected by the outcome of this judgment.

Accordingly, for all the foregoing reasons we respectfully request that the court vacate the judgment entered in New Jersey, and permit our client to defend this questionable lawsuit on the merits.

Respectfully,

WILKOFSKY, FRIEDMAN KAREL & CUMMINS

By: s/Harry Cummins

HARRY A. CUMMINS 299 Broadway, Suite 1700 New York, New York 10007 hcummins@wfkclaw.com (212) 285-0510

HC:pl 24J179.C1